Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/676,735	DOERNER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Holly Rickman	1773
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Holly Rickman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Marlin Knight</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 November 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: all pending		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate reddid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	and fill	ritten summary of the substance
Helih		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Ap	oplicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr Knight agreed to an Examiner's amendment to correct minor informalities in the claims (correcting the dependencies of claims 5 and 11). Mr. Knight also agreed to consider filing a terminal disclaimer over newly allowed application no. 10/850,735. The examiner indicated that a terminal disclaimer would overcome the need to send out an Office action with an obviousness-type double patenting rejection of the present claims in view of 10/850,735..

HOLLY RICKMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER